

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/864,857	SUNDGREEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Raymond J Henley III	1614	

All Participants:

(1) Raymond J Henley III.

(2) D. Patton.

Date of Interview: 6 July 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
None

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The Examiner requested a copy of the reference cited at page 4, lines 4-10 of the specification, i.e., "Pittner". Applicants' representative informed the Examiner that it is an unpublished document.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: AM


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)